



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,120	04/01/2004	Dieter S. Gaubatz	339699US28	8496

22850 7590 08/19/2010

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

SHRESTHA, BLEENDRA K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3691

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/19/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com

oblonpat@oblon.com

jgardner@oblon.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/816,120	Applicant(s) GAUBATZ ET AL.
	Examiner BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA	Art Unit 3691

All Participants:

(1) BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA.

(2) Nikolaus P. Schibli, Attorney.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 14, 21, 26, 44-46 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hani M. Kazimi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed allowability of the application subjected to updated search. Suggested to incorporate limitations of claims 6 and 26 to independent claims 1 and 21, respectively. The specification does not support different units recited in claim 21, specification supports processes as described in drawings. Examiner suggested to claim "hardware processor" in the body of claim 21 and recite processes executed by the processor. Claims 44-46 and 49 are similar to claim 21 and its dependent claims taken together. Claims 44-46 and 49 be canceled or amended showing its distinction from claim 21 and the dependent claims. .